

Peer Review Policy

We are transparent in our reviewing process. A double blind peer review process is applicable for our journal and the author is informed about the status of their contribution whether accepted or sent back to them for improvements

- Step – 1: Once the articles are received from the author, there is an initial screening done in order to check the paper's theme.
- Step – 2: The paper is reviewed by the Subject Matter Expert and the Editorial review members.
- Step – 3: Based on the reviewers' recommendations, the managing editor decides whether a paper
 - Can be accepted
 - Accepted subject to change
 - Subject to re-submission with significant changes
 - Rejected
- For papers which has been suggested to modify, the same reviewers will be assessing the resubmitted paper in order to ensure the suggestions are accepted and the paper is revised
- If the paper is accepted by the reviewers, author(s) will be notified by acceptance letter and to pay the author fee.
- Author/Corresponding Author will be notified about the possible date of publication. The entire process takes a maximum of 1-3 months

Open Access Policy

RW:JASC is aimed at providing high quality research articles to the academic community without any cost. This journal provides immediate open access to its content for making it one of the largest open sources available to the research community in arts, humanities and social science sector. However the author whose article is accepted, need to pay the specified amount in order to cover the peer-reviewing cost, editorial and processing fees

Copyright Policy

RW:JASC hold copyrights for the articles including abstracts published in the journal as accepted by the author in full consent in order to ensure full copyright protection and to disseminate the journal internationally

Plagiarism Policy

We check for plagiarism by [ithenticate](#) and never tolerate plagiarism, forged data, and falsified data presentation from authors who will be blacklisted from publishing articles in RW:JASC and its group of journals

Correction & Retraction Policy

Article withdrawal:

Authors are free to withdraw articles or their publications from being published from our journal group through written statement duly signed by author(s) before it is published online or being allotted with Volume, Issue numbers, DOI and article ID. If an article is withdrawn by us in the events such as, but not limited to, multiple submission, fraudulent use of data, bogus claim of authorship, unreliable findings and unethical research practices, ERM publication group is not bound to inform the author(s) on the reason for withdrawal. Any such

withdrawn articles are removed from the online databases in all formats irrespective of the citation or impact factor. Instead, a short note will be displayed stating that the article has been withdrawn.

Corrections:

As a responsible journal publishing group, we take utmost care to ensure the published works are error-free. However if there are any unintentional errors found by the readers, journal group is ready to publish the refutations from the readers only after being checked and peer-reviewed for the prominent evidence that claims that there is a major error in the original paper. Though criticisms will not be discussed with the authors, the editor-in-chief is the final decision authority to approve or reject a refutation from publishing. ERM group of journals is open to receive such corrections to all the types of articles published except research highlights.

Errata:

Significant typographical errors, units, data, errors in figures-table numbers, legends, proofing errors and errors that are not inclusive of editing sections are published with the information on the article. However negligible errors are not published but not in the case of apparent simple errors that are significant. The decision of editor-in-chief is final.

Corrigenda:

Corrigenda submitted by the original authors are published if the scientific accuracy or reproducibility of the original paper is compromised. Post publication of the papers if the authors found errors that are unavoidable, they can contact the editor-in-chief with the required information. Readers who find such errors are requested to write 'Letter to the editor' stating the complete information such as article title, name of the authors, volume, issue, page number, section head and the error with the correction. The decision of editor-in-chief is final though most corrigenda are approved by the editors and peer-reviewers provided there is a justification.

Addenda:

After peer-reviewing, addenda are added if the editors feel that the additional information is required mandatorily so that the readers can easily understand. This is usually in response to readers' request for clarification. Addenda are rarely published with the omitted information significant for the understanding of the manuscript.

Article retractions:

The editor-in-chief when receives a request from a third party or from the author(s) to retract their article because of the scientific misconduct or outdated information such as the main conclusion of the paper being outdated, the same will be thoroughly analyzed with neutral editors, peer-reviewers, all the authors and institutions. Once found with eligible and reliable information about the misconduct/outdated information, the editor-in-chief decides the article to be retracted either in partial or in-full prior to which the author(s) are requested an explanation/justification though this doesn't affect the decision. This is followed by informing the readers about the article retraction with the note stating that the article has been retracted. If one or more author(s) do not want their article to be retracted, they may send 'Letter to the editor' stating the corrections/justifications carried out with Statement of Proof. Final decision on the retracted articles is with Editor-in-Chief.

Article removal:

Though removing an article occurs during rare instances, this is mandated if the journal article clearly has defamatory content, copyright or any such legal issues etc., In such circumstances, though the article is removed with a note that 'article has been removed for legal reasons, the bibliographic information (title and authors) are retained and unchanged. Final decision on the removed articles is with Editor-in-Chief.

Article replacement:

As mentioned in the article retraction, if author(s) respond to Editor-in-Chief with the false/inaccurate/misguided information/plagiarized content along with the corrections carried out by them, the editor-in-chief may consider to replace the article from its online version.

Supplementary information:

Rare case of authors' corrections to Supplementary Information (SI) are published only if it makes much difference to the article results such as (major errors that compromise the conclusion of the study). Authors have no option to update or change the SI during or after a publication since it is a part of publication unless a change made for technical reasons